Feedback on UEMS Conference on CME/CPD – 18 November 2011
Session 2: Accreditation by the UEMS-EACCME

- 1: Excellent (23%)
- 2: Very good (3%)
- 3: Good (16%)
- 4: Bad (58%)
- 5: Awful (3%)

Session 3: Parallel Workshops

- 1: Excellent (33%)
- 2: Very good (41%)
- 3: Good (9%)
- 4: Bad (17%)
- 5: Awful (3%)
Session 4: The changing regulatory environment for industry support

- Excellent: 31%
- Very good: 18%
- Good: 43%
- Bad: 8%
- Awful: 0%

Session 5: Conference Conclusions

- Excellent: 33%
- Very good: 15%
- Good: 48%
- Bad: 0%
- Awful: 0%
Did I learn anything?

- Absolutely: 27%
- Yes: 4%
- Neutral: 27%
- No: 4%
- No way: 11%

Will I change my practice as a result?

- Absolutely: 42%
- Yes: 16%
- Neutral: 8%
- No: 11%
- No way: 23%
Please rate the conference venue

1. Excellent
2. Very good
3. Good
4. Bad
5. Awful

- Excellent: 29%
- Very Good: 51%
- Good: 17%
- Bad: 3%
- Awful: 3%